

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/714,002	11/14/2003	Bruce D. Johnson	W90.203	1521	
7590 08/20/2004 Clayton R. Johnson 3121 Dakota Avenue Minneapolis, MN 55416			EXAMINER		
			SAKRAN, VICTOR N		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3677		
			DATE MAILED: 08/20/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	· <u> </u>							
A		A	pplication No.	Applicant(s)				
		1	0/714,002	JOHNSON, BRUCE D.				
	Office Action Summary	E	xaminer	Art Unit				
		V	ICTOR N SAKRAN	3677	1			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE - Exterent after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC assions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above, the maximum state are to reply within the set or extended period for reply wreply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a) inication. of days, a reply with utory period will a vill, by statute, cau). In no event, however, may a reply be tim hin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days pply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to use the application to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely the mailing date of this of 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 14 Nove	ember 2003					
	•		tion is non-final.					
3)								
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	<u>'_</u>							
Applicati	ion Papers							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 November</u> Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to	2003 is/are: tion to the draw the correction	wing(s) be held in abeyance. See is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachmen	t(s)		•					
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	PTO-413)				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/1/04. 				aper No(s)/Mail Date lotice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) lther:				

Application/Control Number: 10/714,002

Art Unit: 3677

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-6, and 10-13, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Coderre et al U. S. Patent No. Des. 209,330 in view of Greff U. S. Patent No. 5,245,729.

Coderre et al discloses Applicant's claimed combination of a cleat device comprising a base, three longitudinally spaced jam lugs joined to said base defining first and second jam lugs and an intermediate jam lug, each of said jam

lug defining a substantially V-shaped notch, wherein the openings of the first and second jam lug notches are formed in the opposite direction of the opening of the intermediate jam lug notch, said base is further provided with a hook lug formed at each end thereof, each of said hook lug having a cutout transversely offset from said notches; see Figure 1, except for the particular use of an end lug having aperture transversely offset from the cutout of said hook lug. Greff teaches the use of an end lug (20) having an aperture which is offset from the cutout of its cleat (25) for receiving a line (40) therethrough; see Figures 1, 3; claims 1 and 2. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made, especially, since such modification by providing end lug with aperture to a cleat involves only routine skill in the art. Furthermore, Applicant is reminded that in considering the disclosure of a reference, it is proper to take into account not only specific teaching of the reference but also the inferences which one skilled in the art would reasonably be expected to draw therefrom; see In re Preda, 401 F2d 825, 826, 159 USPQ 342,344 (CCPA1968).

Moreover, the particular location and/or the arrangement selected of an elements is also considered to be no more than an obvious matter of design choice to one having ordinary skill within the art, especially, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention is involves only routine skill in the art. See In Re Japikse, 86 USPQ 70.

Application/Control Number: 10/714,002

Art Unit: 3677

Claims 7-9, and 14-19, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the same references as applied to claims 1-6 and 10-13, above, and further in view of Parsons U. S. Patent No. 5,987,711 who discloses a rope clamping device having a base including a plurality of chisel points (18) formed with said base and mounting screw apertures for screws in order for mounting its rope clamping device to a support structure; see Figures 1-4, 8, 9; column 2, lines 60-62, and column 3, lines 15-18, and to further incorporate such structure in Coderre et al, by merely providing its base with a plurality of chisel pints including mounting screw apertures and screws for mounting its cleat device to a support structure in the manner taught, disclosed and suggested by Parsons it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant's attention is directed to the art cited herein, and of record, as showing structure related to Applicant's disclosed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to VICTOR N SAKRAN whose telephone number is 703-308-2224. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/714,002 Page 5

Art Unit: 3677

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J. J. swann can be reached on 703-308-4115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

August 18, 2004

VICTOR N SAKRAN Primary Examiner Art Unit 3677